

Let P^k (resp P_+^k) = $\{\lambda \in P$ (resp P_+ | $\langle \lambda, k \rangle = k\}$

§12.5 \Rightarrow Weight system $P(\lambda)$ of $L(\lambda)$ ($\lambda \in P_+$) over an affine algebra $g(\Lambda)$

Prop. 12.5.

PROPOSITION 12.5. Let $L(\lambda)$ be an integrable module of positive level k over an affine algebra. Then

- a) $P(\lambda) = W \cdot \{\lambda \in P_+ | \lambda \leq \Lambda\}$.
- b) $P(\lambda) = (\Lambda + Q) \cap \text{convex hull of } W \cdot \Lambda$;
- c) If $\lambda, \mu \in P(\lambda)$ and μ lies in the convex hull of $W \cdot \lambda$, then $\text{mult}_{L(\lambda)} \mu \geq \text{mult}_{L(\lambda)} \lambda$.
- d) $P(\lambda)$ lies in the paraboloid $\{\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}_+^* | \|\lambda\|^2 + 2k(\lambda|\lambda_0) \leq |\Lambda|^2$; $\langle \lambda, k \rangle = k\}$; the intersection of $P(\lambda)$ with the boundary of this paraboloid is $W \cdot \Lambda$.
- e) For $\lambda \in P(\lambda)$ the set of $t \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $\lambda - t\delta \in P(\lambda)$, is an interval $[-p, +\infty)$ with $p \geq 0$, and $t \mapsto \text{mult}_{L(\lambda)}(\lambda - t\delta)$ is a nondecreasing function on this interval. Moreover, if $x \in \mathfrak{g}_{-\delta}$, $x \neq 0$, then the map $z : L(\lambda)_{\lambda - t\delta} \rightarrow L(\lambda)_{\lambda - (t+1)\delta}$ is injective.
- f) Set $\mathfrak{n}_+^{(\delta)} = \bigoplus_{n>0} \mathfrak{g}_{-n\delta}$; then $L(\lambda)$ is a free $U(\mathfrak{n}_+^{(\delta)})$ -module.

Proof. a) follows from Proposition 11.2 b), while b) and c) are special cases of Proposition 11.3 a) and b). d) follows from Proposition 11.4 a) and formula (6.2.7). e) follows from Corollary 11.9 b). f) is a special case of Proposition 11.9 c). \square

告诉我的 $\lambda \in P_+$ 时. $\forall \lambda \in P(\lambda) \Rightarrow \exists w \in W$ s.t. $w(\lambda) \in P_+$ and $w(\lambda) \leq \Lambda$
 后由 maxi weight 可以由 $P_+ \cap \max(\lambda)$ w 得到

pf (a) follow from prop 11.2 (b)

$\Gamma \{i | \langle \lambda, \alpha_i \rangle = 0\} \subset S(\Lambda)$ is a disjoint union of diagram of finite type \Rightarrow

$P(\lambda) = W \cdot \{\lambda \in P_+ | \lambda \leq \Lambda\}$

since level = $k = \langle \lambda, k \rangle > 0 \Rightarrow \exists i$ s.t. $\lambda(\alpha_i) > 0$

i.e. $\{i | \lambda(\alpha_i) = 0\} \subset S(\Lambda)$ is a disjoint

union of diagram... \checkmark

(b) (c) are special case of prop 11.3 (a) and b

Γ prop 11.3 (a), (b) : $(\lambda \in P_+) P(\lambda) = (\Lambda + Q) \cap \text{Convex hull}(W \cdot \Lambda)$
 \hookrightarrow If $\lambda, u \in \mathfrak{h}_+^*$ s.t. $\lambda - u \in Q$ $u \in \text{Convex hull}(W \cdot \Lambda)$
 then $\text{mult}_{L(\lambda)}(u) \geq \text{mult}_{L(\lambda)}(\lambda)$

Γ prop 11.3 (a) $L(\lambda)$ over a kac-Moody algebra $g(\Lambda)$

here \rightarrow special case (i.e affine type) \checkmark

(d) follow from prop 11.4 a) and formula (6.2.7)

$\Gamma \lambda \in P_+$, $(\lambda, u \in P(\lambda))$ then $\langle \lambda, \lambda \rangle - \langle \lambda, u \rangle \geq 0$ and " $=$ " $\Leftrightarrow \lambda = u \in W \cdot \Lambda$

(6.2.7): $\lambda = \bar{\lambda} + \langle \lambda, k \rangle \lambda_0 + (\lambda|\lambda_0)\delta$ $\langle \lambda, k \rangle = k$
 paraboloid \rightarrow 抛物面 $\Rightarrow P(\lambda) \subset \{\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}_+^* | \|\bar{\lambda}\|^2 + 2k(\lambda|\lambda_0) \leq |\Lambda|^2\}$
 and $P(\lambda) \cap \{\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}_+^* | \|\bar{\lambda}\|^2 + 2k(\lambda|\lambda_0) = |\Lambda|^2\} = W \cdot \Lambda$

$$\forall \lambda \in p(\Lambda) \quad \langle \lambda | \lambda \rangle - \langle \lambda | \lambda \rangle \geq 0 \quad \langle \lambda | \lambda \rangle \leq \langle \lambda | \lambda \rangle$$

取特殊的

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \lambda | \lambda \rangle &= \langle \bar{\lambda} + \langle \lambda, k \rangle \lambda_0 + \langle \lambda | \lambda_0 \rangle \delta \rangle^2 = \langle \bar{\lambda} | \bar{\lambda} \rangle + \langle \bar{\lambda} | \langle \lambda, k \rangle \lambda_0 \rangle + \langle \bar{\lambda} | \delta \rangle + \\ & \text{但 } \lambda \in W \cdot \Lambda \quad \langle \lambda, k \rangle (\langle \lambda_0 | \bar{\lambda} \rangle + \langle \lambda_0 | \delta \rangle \langle \lambda | \lambda_0 \rangle + \dots \langle \lambda_0 | \lambda_0 \rangle) + \\ & = \langle \bar{\lambda} \rangle^2 + 2 \langle \lambda | \lambda_0 \rangle \langle \lambda, k \rangle \leq \langle \lambda \rangle^2 \quad + \langle \lambda, k \rangle \langle \lambda_0 | \delta \rangle \end{aligned}$$

问题: 这里是 $\langle \lambda, k \rangle = \langle k, \lambda \rangle = k$.

$$\langle \bar{\lambda} | \lambda_0 \rangle \quad \text{还是只是记作 } k \text{ 而已?}$$

$$\langle \bar{\lambda} | \delta \rangle \quad \{ \alpha_0, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n, \lambda_0 \} \text{ is the basis of } \mathfrak{g}^*$$

$$\begin{aligned} v(k) &= \delta \\ \langle \alpha_i | \lambda \rangle &= 0 & v(d) &= \alpha_0 \lambda_0 \\ \langle \lambda | d \rangle &= 0 & \langle \alpha_i | d \rangle &= \alpha_0 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{cases} \langle \delta | \alpha_i \rangle = 0 \quad i=0, \dots, n \\ \langle \lambda_0 | \lambda_0 \rangle = 0 \\ \langle \alpha_i | \lambda_0 \rangle = 0 \end{cases} \Rightarrow \langle \bar{\lambda} | \lambda_0 \rangle = 1$$

$$\langle \lambda_0 | \lambda_0 \rangle = \frac{1}{\alpha_0} \quad \alpha_0 = \frac{1}{\alpha_0} \langle \delta - \theta \rangle$$

let follow from Coro 11.9. (b)

Let $\alpha \in \Delta_+^{im}$ and $\lambda \in p_+$, Let $\lambda \in p(\Lambda)$, $\langle \lambda | \alpha \rangle \neq 0$, then $\langle \lambda | \alpha \rangle > 0$

(虚根的概念) then $\{ \lambda | \lambda - t\alpha \in p(\Lambda) \} = \{ t | t \in [-p, +\infty) \neq \emptyset \}$
 $t \mapsto \text{mult}_{L(\Lambda)}(\lambda - t\alpha)$ is a nondecreasing function on $p \geq 0$

$$\lambda \in p(\Lambda)$$

$$\langle \lambda | \delta \rangle = 0 \quad \lambda - t\alpha \in p(\Lambda) \Rightarrow t = 0 \quad t \in [-p, +\infty)$$

$$\langle \lambda | \delta \rangle \neq 0 \quad (\langle \lambda | \alpha \rangle \geq 0 \text{ if } \lambda \in p(\Lambda), \alpha \in \Delta_+^{im})$$

$$\Rightarrow \langle \lambda | \delta \rangle > 0$$

if) is special case of prop 11.9 (c)

$L(\Lambda)_+^{(a)}$ is free $U(\mathfrak{N}^{(a)})$ -module on a basis of the subspace

$$\{ x \in L(\Lambda)_+^{(a)} \mid n_i^{(a)}(x) = 0 \}$$

$$\oplus_{\lambda: \langle \lambda | \delta \rangle > 0} L(\Lambda)\lambda$$

$$L(\lambda) = L(\lambda)_0^{(\delta)} \oplus L(\lambda)_+^{(\delta)}, \text{ where } \underline{g^{(\delta)} \cdot L(\lambda)_0^{(\delta)} = 0}$$

$$\stackrel{||}{\oplus}_{\lambda: (\lambda|\delta)=0} L(\lambda)_\lambda \oplus \left(\oplus_{\lambda: (\lambda|\delta)>0} L(\lambda)_\lambda \right)$$

i.e. $L(\lambda)_+^{(\delta)}$ is free $U(\mathfrak{h}_{-}^{(\delta)})$ -module
 $L(\lambda)$ is \Rightarrow free $U(\mathfrak{h}_{-}^{(\delta)})$ -module. ✓

§12.6. (Weight system $P(\lambda)$ ($\lambda \in P_+$) of positive level k over an affine alg)

Def: A weight $\lambda \in P(\lambda)$ is called maximal if $\lambda + \delta \notin P(\lambda)$,
denote by $\max(\lambda)$ the set of all maximal weight of $L(\lambda)$

Fact 1: $\max(\lambda)$ is a w -invariant set

$$\Gamma \quad w(\delta) = \delta \quad \underbrace{w(\lambda + \delta) = w(\lambda) + \delta}_{\text{if } w(\lambda + \delta) \in P(\lambda) \Rightarrow \lambda + \delta \in P(\lambda)} \in P(\lambda) \Rightarrow w(\lambda) \in \max(\lambda)$$

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} \text{if } w(\lambda + \delta) \in P(\lambda) \Rightarrow \lambda + \delta \in P(\lambda) \\ \lambda + \delta \notin P(\lambda) \end{array} \right\}$$

Fact 2: $\forall \mu \in \max(\lambda)$, μ is w -equivalent to a unique dominant weight.

$$\Gamma \quad \text{By Prop 12.5. } P(\lambda) = \{ \lambda \in P_+ \mid \lambda \in \lambda \}$$

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} \exists \lambda \in P_+ \\ \exists w \in W \end{array} \right\} \text{ s.t. } w(\lambda) = \mu$$

Since $\lambda = w(\mu) \in \max(\lambda)$ by Fact 1 $\Rightarrow \lambda \in P_+ \cap \max(\lambda)$

(unique.) $\lambda \in P_+$, $\underline{w(\mu)} \cap \underline{P_+}$ is exactly one element by prop 3.12

Fact 3: $\forall \mu \in P(\lambda) \exists \lambda \in \max(\lambda)$ s.t.

$$\mu = \lambda - n\delta, \text{ where } n \text{ a unique nonnegative integer}$$

$$\text{i.e. we have } P(\lambda) = \bigcup_{\lambda \in \max(\lambda)} \{ \lambda - n\delta \mid n \in \mathbb{Z}_+ \}$$

(disjoint union)

[by prop 2.5 (e) + Fact 2]

$$\text{Prop 12.5 } P(\lambda) \supseteq$$

$$\text{Fact 2: } \boxed{P(\lambda) \subseteq}$$

Prop. 6 (description of dominant maximal weights)

PROPOSITION 12.6. The map $\lambda \mapsto \bar{\lambda}$ defines a ^{→ injective} bijection from $\max(\Lambda) \cap P_+$ onto $kC_{af} \cap (\bar{\Lambda} + \bar{Q})$. In particular, the set of dominant maximal weights of $L(\Lambda)$ is finite.

Proof. Straightforward using Proposition 12.5.

Pf.

$C_{af} \rightarrow$ fundamental alcove in § 6.6. \rightarrow 基本附房

\hookrightarrow 里埃法一些

Recall $C_{af} = \{ \lambda \in \mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{R}}^* \mid (\lambda | \alpha_i) \geq 0 \text{ for } i = \overline{1, l} \text{ and } (\lambda | \theta) \leq k \}$
 $\theta = \sum_{i=1}^l a_i \alpha_i$

Thus: $kC_{af} = \{ \lambda \in \mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{R}}^* \mid (\lambda | \alpha_i) \geq 0 \text{ for } i = \overline{1, l}, (\lambda | \theta) \leq k \}$

For $\mu \in \max(\Lambda) \cap P_+$, we have:

$(\mu | \alpha_i) \geq 0$ for $i = \overline{0, l}$ and $\mu(k) = k > 0$

Since $\alpha_0^\vee = k - a_0 \theta^\vee$ ($a_0 = 1$)

We have $0 \leq \mu(\alpha_0^\vee) = \langle \mu, k - a_0 \theta^\vee \rangle = \mu(k) - \langle \mu, a_0 \theta^\vee \rangle$

$= k - \langle \mu, \bar{\nu}^\vee(\theta) \rangle = k - (\bar{\mu} | \theta) = k - (\bar{\mu} | \theta)$

$\Rightarrow (\bar{\mu} | \theta) \leq k$ i.e. $\bar{\mu} \in kC_{af}$

$\Rightarrow \bar{\mu} \in kC_{af} \cap \bar{\Lambda} + \bar{Q}$

(injective)

if $\mu_1, \mu_2 \in \max(\Lambda) \cap P_+$ and $\bar{\mu}_1 = \bar{\mu}_2$

then $\mu_1 - \mu_2 = (\bar{\mu}_1 + \langle \mu_1 | \lambda_0 \rangle \delta + \langle \mu_1, k \rangle \lambda_0) - (\bar{\mu}_2 + \dots)$

$= (\mu_1 - \mu_2 | \lambda_0) \delta + \langle \mu_1 - \mu_2, k \rangle \lambda_0 = 0$

$= (\mu_1 - \mu_2 | \lambda_0) \delta$

On the other hand $\mu_1, \mu_2 \in \Lambda - \mathbb{Q}_+$

$\Rightarrow \mu_1 - \mu_2 \in \mathbb{Q} \Rightarrow \mu_1 - \mu_2 = m\delta, m \in \mathbb{Z}$

$\left. \begin{aligned} k(k) &= 0 \\ (k | \alpha_i^\vee) &= 0 \\ (k | \alpha_i) &= 0 \\ k \rightarrow \delta \end{aligned} \right\} \lambda_0$

But, u_1, u_2 are maximal $\Rightarrow m=0 \Rightarrow u_1 = u_2$

(Sufficient) \checkmark

In particular, $K_{\text{Cof}} \cap \bar{\Lambda} + \bar{Q}$ is a finite set

$\Rightarrow \max(\lambda) \cap P_+$ is finite set \checkmark

$\Gamma_{K_{\text{Cof}}}$ is a compact region

and $\bar{\Lambda} + \bar{Q}$ is a discrete set

Prop 12.6.

Let A be an affine matrix of type $X_N^{(v)}$, where $X=A, D$ or E . ($\lambda \in P_+$) be of level 1, Then

$$(12.6.2) \quad \max(\lambda) = W \cdot \lambda = T \cdot \lambda$$

$$(12.6.3) \quad P(\lambda) = \{ \lambda_0 + \frac{1}{2} |\lambda|^2 \delta + \alpha - (\frac{1}{2} |\alpha|^2 + s) \delta \}$$

where $\alpha \in \bar{\Lambda} + \bar{Q}, s \in \mathbb{Z} \}$

Proof: If $w(\lambda) + \delta \in P(\lambda)$ for some $w \in W$

then $\lambda + \delta \in P(\lambda) \Rightarrow w(\lambda) \in \max(\lambda)$

then, it suffices to prove that

$$(w(\lambda) \in \max(\lambda) \subseteq T(\lambda) \subseteq W(\lambda)) \quad \text{显然}$$

$\max(\lambda) \subseteq T(\lambda)$

$$T = \{ t_2 \mid a \in M = \bar{Q} = \bar{Q} \}$$

Since $\text{Level}(\lambda) = 1$, $\lambda = \lambda_i + c\delta$

$$\text{If } i=0 \quad \checkmark(\lambda) = \lambda \quad \Rightarrow \quad W(\lambda) = T(\lambda)$$

$$r_0(\lambda_0 + c\delta) = \lambda_0$$

$$i \neq 0 \quad W(\lambda_i) = T(\lambda_i) \quad \Rightarrow \quad W(\lambda) = T(\lambda)$$

$\forall \lambda \in \max(\Lambda)$, we have $\lambda = \lambda - \beta$, where $\beta \in \mathcal{O}_+$

(1) $\lambda \in \max(\Lambda) \Rightarrow \lambda(k) = \lambda(k) = 1 \text{ n } \mathbb{Z}$

$$\lambda = \lambda - \beta = \lambda i + (\epsilon \delta - \beta)$$

Since $\beta \equiv \bar{\beta} \pmod{\epsilon \delta}$, we have: $t_{\bar{\beta}}(\lambda) = \lambda \pmod{\epsilon \delta}$ by (6.5.2)

$$\beta = \bar{\beta} + (\beta | \lambda_0) \delta + \langle \beta, k \rangle \lambda_0 \rightarrow \beta = \bar{\beta} \pmod{\epsilon \delta}$$

$$\begin{aligned} t_{\bar{\beta}}(\lambda) &= \lambda + \langle \lambda, k \rangle \beta - (\lambda | \bar{\beta}) + \frac{1}{2} |\beta|^2 \langle \beta, k \rangle \delta \pmod{\epsilon \delta} \\ &= \lambda + \langle \lambda, k \rangle \bar{\beta} \pmod{\epsilon \delta} \\ &= \lambda - \bar{\beta} + \langle \lambda, k \rangle \bar{\beta} = \lambda \pmod{\epsilon \delta} \end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow \lambda - t_{\bar{\beta}}(\lambda) = m \delta \quad m \in \mathbb{Z}_+$$

$$\text{But } t_{\bar{\beta}}(\lambda) \in \max(\Lambda) \Rightarrow m = 0 \quad t_{\bar{\beta}}(\lambda) = \lambda \quad \lambda = t_{\bar{\beta}}(\lambda)$$

$$\text{i.e. } \bigcup_{\lambda \in \max(\Lambda)} \max(\Lambda) \subset T(\Lambda) \subset W(\Lambda) \Rightarrow (12.6.2) \checkmark$$

(12.6.3) follow from (12.6.1) + (12.6.2)

$$P(\Lambda) = \bigcup_{\lambda \in \max(\Lambda)} \{ \lambda - n\delta \mid n \in \mathbb{Z}_+ \}$$

$$(12.6.2) \lambda \in \max(\Lambda) \Rightarrow T(\Lambda)$$

For $\alpha \in M \in \overline{\mathcal{O}} = \mathcal{O}$, we have

$$t_{\alpha}(\lambda) = \lambda + \alpha - \left((\lambda | \alpha) + \frac{1}{2} (\alpha | \alpha) \right) \delta$$

$$\left(\text{since } \lambda = \bar{\lambda} + \frac{\langle \lambda, k \rangle \lambda_0}{1} + \frac{1}{2} (|\lambda|^2 - |\bar{\lambda}|^2) \delta \right)$$

6.5.2 - 6.5.6

$$= \bar{\lambda} + \frac{1}{2} (|\lambda|^2 - |\bar{\lambda}|^2) \delta + \lambda_0 + \alpha - \left((\bar{\lambda} | \alpha) + \frac{1}{2} (\alpha | \alpha) \right) \delta$$

$$= \lambda_0 + \frac{1}{2} |\lambda|^2 \delta + \bar{\lambda} + \alpha - \frac{|\bar{\lambda} + \alpha|^2}{2} \delta \quad (\text{let } \bar{\lambda} + \alpha = \beta, \beta \in \bar{\Lambda} + \overline{\mathcal{O}})$$

$$= \lambda_0 + \frac{1}{2} |\lambda|^2 \delta + \beta - \frac{|\beta|^2}{2} \delta$$

$$P(\Lambda) = \bigcup_{\lambda \in \max(\Lambda)} \{ \lambda - n\delta \mid n \in \mathbb{Z}_+ \} = \left\{ \lambda_0 + \frac{1}{2} |\lambda|^2 \delta + \beta - \frac{|\beta|^2}{2} - n\delta \right\}$$

$$= \left\{ \lambda_0 + \frac{1}{2} |\lambda|^2 s + |\beta| - \left(\frac{1}{2} |\beta|^2 - s\delta \right) \quad s \in \mathbb{Z}^+ \right\}$$

§ 12.7

* $\gamma = \{ h \in \mathfrak{h} \mid \sum_{\alpha \in \mathfrak{h}^+} \text{mult } \alpha |e^{-\langle \alpha, h \rangle}| < \infty \}$ then
 If A is affine \Rightarrow

Let $\lambda \in \mathfrak{P}_+$, If follow from prop 11.10 (11.10.1) \rightarrow

$\{ \gamma = \{ h \in \mathfrak{h} \mid \text{Re} \langle \delta, h \rangle > 0 \}$, $ch_{\lambda}(u)$ converges absolutely to a holomorphic function in $\gamma = \{ h \in \mathfrak{h} \mid \text{Re} \langle \delta, h \rangle > 0 \}$

In fact, γ is region of convergence of $ch_{\lambda}(u)$ if $\text{level}(\lambda) > 0$
 $\lambda(k) > 0$

Note also \forall h.w.m \mathcal{V} over an affine algebra.

ch_{λ} converges absolutely in domain γ_0 (see Lem 10.6.6)

[then $\gamma(\mathcal{V}) \supset \gamma \cap \gamma_0$]

$\gamma_0 = \{ h \in \mathfrak{h} \mid \text{Re} \langle \alpha_i, h \rangle > 0 \}$ $\text{but } \gamma \cap \gamma_0 = \gamma_0 \subset \gamma(\mathcal{V})$

$\gamma = \{ h \in \mathfrak{h} \mid \text{Re} \langle \delta, h \rangle > 0 \} = \gamma \perp \mathfrak{P}_+(\mathfrak{t})$

Def: Suppose $\lambda \in \mathfrak{P}_+$, $\lambda \in \max(\lambda)$, we define

$$a_{\lambda}^{\wedge} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{L(\lambda)} \text{mult}(\lambda - n\delta) e^{-n\delta} \quad \left(e^{-n\delta} \stackrel{?}{\text{set to}} \right)$$

a_{λ}^{\wedge} converges absolutely in the region γ since

$$\begin{aligned} \text{ch}_{L(\lambda)} &= \sum_{\lambda \in \mathfrak{P}_+(\mathfrak{t})} \text{mult}(\lambda) e^{\lambda} \\ &= \sum_{\lambda \in \max(\lambda)} \left[\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{L(\lambda)} \text{mult}(\lambda - n\delta) e^{\lambda - n\delta} \right] \end{aligned}$$

$$= \sum_{\lambda \in \max(\Lambda)} e^{\lambda} \hat{a}_{\lambda}$$

Since $W_{\lambda} \cap T = 1$ for $\lambda \in \rho(\Lambda)$ (prop 6.6c) and $w(S) = S$
and using (12.6.1) $\max \Lambda = W \cdot \Lambda = T(\Lambda)$:

(12.7.1)

$$\star \text{ch}_{\Lambda}(\Lambda) = \sum_{\lambda \in \max(\Lambda)} e^{\lambda} \hat{a}_{\lambda} = \sum_{\substack{\lambda \in \max \Lambda \\ \lambda \bmod T}} \left(\sum_{t \in T} e^{t \cdot \lambda} \right) \hat{a}_{\lambda}$$

(Computation of $\text{ch}_{\Lambda}(\Lambda) \rightarrow$ computation of the function \hat{a}_{λ})